



# Climate Action Plan Committee - Meeting 3 Summary

City Hall Conference Room – 10722 SE Main St., Milwaukie, OR 97222

June 28, 2018 – 6:00 – 9:00 p.m.

Committee Members Present	Representing
Shane Abma	City of Milwaukie – Council Liaison
Vincent Alvarez	Community Member
Brooke Berglund	Utility
Eric Corey Freed	Community Member
Mark Gamba	City of Milwaukie – Mayor/Council Liaison
Katharine Hyzy	Community Member
Britt McConn	Community Member
Eben Polk	Clackamas County
Scott Stewart	Large employer
David Dugan	Large employer
Andy Taylor	Community Member
Yelena Voznyuk	Community Member

Committee Members Not Able to Attend	Representing
Linda Blue	Community Member
Shanna Brownstein	Utility
Patricia Cronin	Community Member
Mark Hilton	Community Member
Cindy Quintanilla	North Clackamas School District

Staff Present	
Peter Passarelli	City of Milwaukie
Tristan Sewell	City of Milwaukie
David Levitan	City of Milwaukie
Mari Valencia	EnviroIssues
Emma Sagor	EnviroIssues
Josh Proudfoot	Good Company

## Welcome and introductions

Mayor Mark Gamba welcomed Climate Action Plan Committee (CAPC) members. He thanked CAPC members for their participation at the successful Climate Action Summit on May 31<sup>st</sup>, which was attended by more than 75 community members. Mayor Gamba previewed the evening’s agenda and said the committee’s work on refining the draft strategies is integral to developing a robust and meaningful Climate Action Plan (CAP).



## Meeting Objectives

Facilitator Emma Sagor reviewed the agenda and the meeting objectives:

- Review and discuss feedback from Climate Action Summit and online survey
- Refine draft Climate Action Plan (CAP) strategies at the City, community and household level
- Continue goal setting discussion
- Discuss the future role of the CAPC through implementation

## Project schedule

Peter Passarelli, Milwaukie Public Works Director and CAP Project Manager, provided an overview of the project schedule and upcoming milestones:

Date	Task
June 28	CAPC #3 – Revise draft strategies and determine goals
July	Draft CAP distributed for CAPC and stakeholder review and comment
Aug. 9	CAPC #4 – Review draft CAP feedback and discuss final revisions
Mid-late August	Final draft CAP published for public review and final comment opportunity
Sept. 4	CAPC present to City Council
Sept. 18	Tentative City Council adoption
Post-adoption	To be discussed at this meeting!

Peter explained the draft CAP will be distributed for CAPC and stakeholder review the first part of July. After CAPC #4, the final draft plan will be posted for a final public review period. Josh Proudfoot, Good Company, stated the draft CAP will not be put into final layout until after all CAPC and stakeholder feedback has been incorporated. Emma stated that an online comment form will be developed to gather feedback easily on the draft CAP..

Peter mentioned the City will hire a Climate Action Coordinator who will manage the implementation of the CAP and help ensure the community meets its goals.

## Feedback from Summit and online survey

Emma provided an overview of the feedback received at the Summit and through the online survey, which was available from May 31 to June 14. Full details are available in the community feedback summary report, provided to CAPC members prior to the meeting. Key takeaways include:

- Participants are motivated to act and most feel urgent action is required
- Strategies are on the right track, but some could be stronger or more clearly defined
- There is a need to reduce jargon and explain complex terms
- There is overlap across topics
- Participants identified several new strategies and support needed to implement these strategies



## Draft CAP structure

Josh reviewed the proposed structure for the draft CAP:

- Leadership letter
- Executive Summary
- Climate Change 101
- Milwaukie GHG Inventory
- Policy Review
- Future Physical Conditions
- CAP Process
- Goals, framed in terms of actions to take in the next 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 years
- Topic-specific chapters, including the following sub-sections
  - Why it matters and how much of the community footprint?
  - Mitigation actions and scaling
  - Adaptation actions
  - Co-benefits
  - Progress metrics
  - What can households do to reduce or adapt?
  - What can organizations do to reduce or adapt?

## Discussion and questions:

- *What is GHG?*
  - Josh clarified this refers to greenhouse gases.
- *In the climate change 101 chapter, there should be a strong education emphasis. Based on what we heard from the Summit, education on climate change is very important.*
  - All agreed.
- *We should include footnotes to direct folks to sections where information is explained in greater detail. It is very easy to do this in a live PDF.*
- *Is the GHG inventory needed, or should it be included in the appendix?*
- *Can future physical conditions and climate change 101 be combined?*
- *Is the future physical conditions section referring to what will happen if we do nothing, or what will happen if the plan is realized?*
  - Josh explained this section illustrates what conditions would be like in Milwaukie if the “status quo” continues
- *We must acknowledge apartment owners and multi-dwelling unit owners. What are we doing to support them?*
  - All agreed this audience should be specifically considered

The group discussed how the CAP will be consumed by different audiences. Josh explained it can be challenging to include all relevant information while creating a readable, accessible document. The CAPC agreed they would like the plan to be consumable by different audiences; residents, businesses, City and City partners. Chapters could be organized by audience, rather than by topic. This would allow different



“versions” of the plan to be accessed if someone only wanted to see strategies relevant to one audience. The same introductory material would preface each “version.”

The group discussed the advantage of an online platform where people can self-identify as a particular “audience” and view strategies relevant to them. Peter explained the new Climate Action Coordinator will work on making the plan accessible online.

## **Co-benefit Scoring Presentation**

Josh presented the co-benefit scoring criteria rubric developed to evaluate each set of actions. The results of the co-benefit scoring are available in the Mitigation Tech Memo. Strategies were assessed based on the resulting co-benefits in the following categories:

1. Addresses Milwaukie Superactions
2. Opportunity for Social Equity
3. Mitigates and Adapts in One Action
4. Revenue Generation or Cost Avoidance
5. Leverages Existing Efforts
6. Community Support

Josh explained that the scale is different for each co-benefit, with a scoring range of 1 (“least best”) to 3 (“best”).

## **Breakout Group Discussion and Reports Back**

CAPC members broke into discussion groups to review draft strategies in light of public feedback and new scaling results. After 40 minutes, members had a chance to rotate and discuss a different topic. Reference sheets summarizing public feedback by topic were provided to each group. Small group discussions focused on language refinements, prioritization, and what was missing for both community and household level strategies.

Staff/consultant discussion leaders at each group recorded comments, suggested refinements and additions during the discussion. CAPC members also completed their own worksheets to provide input on “when” actions should be implemented. A complete summary of feedback provided in the discussion groups is appended to this summary.

The CAPC reconvened as a large group for a high-level report out from each topic area discussion. The notes from the report out are summarized below:

### **Land use and transportation planning (Peter/David)**

- What’s missing at the community level?
  - Better ways to encourage employers to bike to work, incentivize parking reduction, and promote active transportations
- What’s missing at the household level?
  - Many of the strategies referenced under this topic are already underway and “advocacy” may not be needed. Stronger actions are more beneficial (i.e. use mass transit, develop ADUs)

### **Vehicle fleets and fuels (Peter/David)**

- What’s missing at the community level?



- Milwaukie must advocate for the California Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards in Oregon
- Feedback on household level strategies:
  - Suggest adding the following statement: “Buy an EV, hybrid or fuel-efficient vehicle”
  - Suggest combining “reduce and offset air travel”
  - Add car and bike sharing

## **Public Health and emergency preparedness (Tristan)**

- Highest priority community-level strategy:
  - Updating the FEMA flood maps
- What’s missing at the community level?
  - Communicating risks like flooding
  - Partnership with the hospital in Milwaukie
- Feedback on household level strategies:
  - Combine emergency planning strategies
  - Consider linking to resources in the document (live links)
  - Recognize NDAs and community support groups that are non-traditional groups
  - Consider specific strategies for multifamily/new residents

## **Natural Resources (Tristan)**

- Highest priority community-level strategy:
  - Updating intergovernmental water agreements in order to know the tools available
- What’s missing at the community level?
  - Air and soil
  - Clarifying problem statement
- Feedback on household level strategies:
  - Consider framing in terms of impact zones (i.e. how do I reduce my print in my yard, home, etc.);
  - Where should composting be discussed?
  - Plastic bag ban

## **Materials use, purchasing and recovery (Josh)**

- What’s missing at the community level?
  - Promoting better recycling habits
  - Tool libraries
  - Decommissioning garbage disposals
- All strategies are priorities and should be completed within two years.
- What’s missing at the household level?
  - Education on why garbage disposals are bad or why decommissioning use is important.

## **Building energy and efficiency**

- What’s missing at the community level?
  - Partnerships with industrial employers to reduce energy use
  - Regulation to revisit building codes after a certain period of time



- All but three strategies can be completed within two years.
- What's missing at the household level?
  - Participation in demand-response programs

Emma stated a survey will be developed and distributed to the CAPC to allow members to share feedback they weren't able to discuss during the discussion groups due to the meeting time constraints. Feedback from this survey is included in the summary appended to these notes.

## **Future role of the CAPC**

Peter provided an update on the potential future role of the CAPC. The City would like the CAPC to serve as advocates for the CAP and help communicate the importance of climate action. City staff are currently discussing what form the CAPC may continue to operate in and how it could work with the future Climate Action Coordinator. Peter noted further updates will be shared with the CAPC at their next meeting and invited members to send him any comments.

## **Next steps and closing remarks**

The next CAPC meeting is scheduled for August 9. Peter thanked CAPC members for their time. Emma encouraged all members who would like to provide additional comment to participate in the online survey.