

## DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY

### Members Present

Albert Chen, Ben Rousseau, Bryce Magorian, Celestina DiMauro, Daniel Eisenbeis, Everett Wild, Howie Oakes, Liz Start, Rebecca Hayes, Sara Busickio

### Members Not Able to Attend

Jessica Neu, Kim Travis, Matthew Bibeau, Neil Hankerson, Stacy Johnson, Stephan Lashbrook

### City of Milwaukie

Councilor Lisa Batey

David Levitan, Denny Egner, and Mary Heberling, Planning Department

Robert Massey; Planning Commissioner

### Envirolssues

Bridger Wineman

*Conversation and questions/answers are summarized by agenda item below. Raw flipchart notes are attached as an appendix to this summary (Appendix A, respectively).*

---

### WELCOME

- Bridger Wineman welcomed the CPAC. Went over the overview of the meeting for the night.
- Councilor Batey welcomed the CPAC.

### PROJECT UPDATES

- **Lisa Batey:** Question on if we were going to talk about the format of the Comp Plan document.
  - **David** – Will be discussing this more internally with staff, but the plan is to have it more organized and related to the Vision superactions, similar to the Hillsboro example.
- **David Levitan:** said that the housing policies were pinned down on July 16<sup>th</sup> with little edits from the City Council.

### PUBLIC COMMENT/DISCUSSION

- **Chris Ortolano** – Brought up SB 100, let CPAC know they can engage other groups besides just NDAs
- **Elvis Clark** – Voicing concern over allowing more density, but not considering impacts to infrastructure at the same time. Thinks this should be happening together versus looking at infrastructure afterwards. Concerned about traffic with more density, especially with HWY 224 and the railroad tracks.

- **Jim Collias** – Only became aware of the proposed changes to the cottage clusters in the past few weeks from neighbors. Worried about outreach from the Comp Plan, does not think it's been happening. Wants to know about the public hearing process.
- **Ronelle Coburn** – Also had comments on the City doing a better job about getting the word out about projects and development in the community. Would like to see a policy in the Community Engagement Chapter that states that the City should be using USPS as part of their outreach strategies.

#### **UPDATE ON NEIGHBORHOOD HUBS WORK AND IMPLEMENTATION**

- Mary Heberling provided a brief update on where staff is on the Neighborhood Hubs project. The implementation strategies document written by the City's consultants that was provided to the CPAC needs to be updated per staff and CPAC comments. It is not the final document and further discussion on these implementation strategies needs to happen with the CPAC, staff, and the Milwaukie community.
- **Everett Wild:** Concerned that there are too many hubs and it will require too much City money to get them all off the ground in some sort of way. Also worried that it will cause a lot of false hope in the community if these hubs don't happen in the next 10 years, which he thinks will be hard to do without City money.

#### **DRAFT GOAL/POLICY REVIEW AND DISCUSSION**

- See Appendix A for raw flipchart notes from the meeting
- Updates to the draft Urban Design Goals and Policies will be reflected in the next revisions. Those updated revisions will be located on the Comprehensive Plan website.

#### **CLOSING/NEXT STEPS**

##### Next Steps

- Synthesis and Document Preparation
  - Traditional Comp Plan organization is through the statewide planning approach. There are other ways:
    - Combine around the superactions from the Vision
    - Hillsboro Comp Plan example
  - Staff will provide a means for CPAC members to review the draft updated Comprehensive plan during the synthesis phase.

Closing remarks made by Councilor Batey.

## APPENDIX A: RAW FLIPCHART NOTES

### Goal 1:

- 1.C. – Add access for disabled?
- Overall – call out Johnson Creek Blvd area as its own area with policies
  - add to #6 or as it's own area
  - add trees and pedestrians and air quality
- 1.G. – What's the purpose? Specify "support"
- 1.D. – Reconsider and better specify "capitalize" - reword
- 1. – Mention protections of farmers market and vendors, long-term farmers market?
- 1.F. – Reword to be more specific  
Views – focused on housing for current development
- 2.A. – Second sentence not needed or reference where generally – others say it's ok
- Corridors – include future/???

### Goal 2:

- Encourage EV charging
- 1.A. – Pedestrian environment for all + add biking whenever peds are mentioned
- 2 – Parking for additional classes?
- Lighting and safety needs for parking
- 2.D. – Add "where possible"
- Parking as buffer – include in pedestrian section
- 3.D. – Pollinator highways – is it restrictive?
- Consider bigger trees where possible
- 5.C. – Include "green buildings" in glossary
- 5.D. – Does it fit?
- 3.G. – Make language more nuanced
- 3.F+G – Are these needed if addressed elsewhere?
  - Use consistent language
  - Trees at higher bar than waterways

### Goal 3:

- 1 & 2: Clarify the difference between the intent of the 2 policies
  - consider combining
  - consider different term for OR process
  - Specify that 1 is about commercial, etc. but not residential
  - Make more publicly understandable, possible call-out box
- Geographic designation – add JC
- 4.B. – Is the definition too broad? Reconsider this word.
- 4. – Add universal design for aging in place
- 5. – Consider adding criteria that should be considered for zone change