

DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY

Members Present

Albert Chen, Celestina DiMauro, Everett Wild, Rebecca Hayes, Stephan Lashbrook

Members Not Able to Attend

Ben Rousseau, Bryce Magorian, Daniel Eisenbeis, Howie Oakes, Kim Travis, Liz Start, Matthew Bibeau, Sara Busickio, Mark Gamba (Mayor)

City of Milwaukee

Councilor Lisa Batey

David Levitan, Denny Egner, Mary Heberling, and Tay Stone, Planning Department

Peter Passarelli, Public Works Director

Natalie Rogers, Climate Action and Sustainability Coordinator

Angelo Planning

Matt Hastie

Conversation and questions/answers are summarized by agenda item below. Raw flipchart notes are attached as an appendix to this summary (Appendix A, respectively).

WELCOME

- Matt Hastie welcomed the CPAC. Went over the overview of the meeting for the night.

PROJECT UPDATES

- **David Levitan:** provided an update from the Planning Commission meeting last week where they looked over Housing Policies. Policies will go before City Council on July 16th to pin down those policies.

DRAFT GOAL/POLICY REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

- **Public Facilities**
 - **Goal 1:**
 - **Everett** – 4, 5, & 10 more about intergovernmental agreement/preparedness, 1, 2, 6, 8, & 9 – more related together. Could create two different goals rather than all fit under one.
 - **Stephan** – Should we be designing facilities to handle the peak times? Those times that happen occasionally versus consistently.
 - **Peter** – There are some regulations/restrictions that mean we need to do this.

- **Stephan** – Willing to compromise and say that only where it isn't required, we choose to not always have facilities/roads to meet the peak hours.
 - **Celestina** - #9, sounds like #3
 - **Matt** - #9 is making sure the City has standards and requirements. #3 is for the developers to pay for development.
 - **Everett** – Would be helpful if those two policies are next to each other as they are related.
 - **Stephan** – Need to be clear that responsibility of developers may also go to adjacent properties. Not sure it fits in #3, could be new policy.
 - **Goal #2**
 - **Stephan** – #2, not sure how the rest of that combats climate change
 - **Everett** – Goal 2, about drinking water, seems to be about self sufficiency and the City maintaining its own water. Goals 3 & 4 don't seem to be about self-sufficiency. Wondering why that is? Do we want to maintain those current relationships? Since this is a long-range 20-year plan.
 - **Peter** – I do think you want local control when you can. The waste water system here is unique because we don't own the waste water treatment plant. We own the pipes, but not the treatment facility. We would need to spend a lot of capital to buy the treatment plant or build our own.
 - **Lisa** – There was a sewage plant in the industrial area before the treatment plant.
 - **Peter** - Back in the 1970s there was federal policy to make wastewater and other services regionally because it was thought to be more efficient.
 - **Lisa** – Do we want to put in a policy that we may eventually gain control of Kellogg Treatment Plant.
 - **Peter** – #6 should be in Goal 3 versus Goal 2
 - **Celestina** – Goal 2 language could be synthesized/streamlined
 - **Goal #3**
 - **Stephan** – Needs to be a policy around energy creation with wastewater.
 - **Lisa** – Does capping mean covering the Kellogg Treatment Plant?
 - **Celestina** – I thought it meant capacity capping as well.
 - **Matt** – We can make it clearer, it should be about covering the plant.
 - **Goal #4**
 - **Everett** – Goal 4 uses “accessible.” Not sure it fits here.
 - **Lisa** – Is that referring to waterways?
 - **Matt** – Think so, but probably does not fit in this goal though.
 - **Stephan** – There should be a whole section about stormwater that is in waterways then.

- **Matt** – Policy 4 mentions that, but what is missing?
 - **Natalie** – The goal is confusing because it’s talking about two different things.
 - Celestina – What does it mean to restore a historic floodplain?
 - Denny – Probably means to restore more into a wetland and create more capacity.
 - Lisa – public education component to stormwater quality is needed
 - David – Could be here or in Environmental Quality
 - Stephan – Has the City ever approached the subject of daylighting creeks? Could have a policy for that if there is an inexpensive opportunity.
- **Goal #5**
 - **Celestina** – Goal seems the same as policy 1
 - **Lisa** – We treat multi-family and second class when getting services for solid waste. Should have a policy around this.
 - **Stephan** – Advocating for bio-degradable hydraulic oils in the City’s franchise agreements.
 - **Peter** – Add a policy that makes sure the City can create composting areas on City sites/public areas.
- **Goal #6**
 - **Stephan** – We need emergency response vehicles and staff on both sides of the railroads 24 hrs a day.
 - **Stephan** – Put in a policy around preventing fireworks.
 - **Celestina** – Policy 2 seems redundant, re-word/synthesize
- **What are the policies/areas we want to hear from the community at the open house?**
 - Broadband internet services
 - Water and self-sufficiency and which water supplies are the best
 - Crime prevention and fire safety education
 - Kellogg Wastewater treatment plant
 - Multi-family and availability of services/education
- **Urban Design**
 - **Everett** – Notice that a lot of these geographic areas have repetitive policies. Could combine these policies rather than organize by geographic.
 - **What are the policies/areas we want to hear from the community at the open house?**
 - Parking spaces for trees/standards
 - Pedestrian improvements, what kinds do they want? Wider sidewalks, traffic calming, etc.
 - Hubs and employment areas, places we’re missing?
 - Mini shuttle idea within the City
- **Natural Resources and Environmental Quality**
 - **What are the policies/areas we want to hear from the community at the open house?**

- Environmental quality questions: goal 6 at large, how do we work or go beyond the federal or state requirements
- Kellogg dam removal
- Goal 1.1 and/or 1.2
- Policy 6.3, thoughts on good-neighborhood agreements, do people support this as a cooperation tool, is it enough?
- Code enforcement: noise, odors – needed?

CLOSING/NEXT STEPS

David: Next Steps

- Housing Block
 - May 21 – Joint PC/CC meeting to review policies
 - Late May – last CPAC meeting
 - June work session – PC (6/11) and CC (6/18)
 - July 16 – “pin down” housing policies
- Block 3
 - June 3 – CPAC meeting 3 (review draft policies)
 - July 8 – CPAC meeting 4 (final CPAC-recommended draft)
 - July 23 – Planning Commission review
 - August 6 – City Council review
 - How are we going to do our public outreach? Another town hall? Or just an online open house? Will the community give feedback on draft policies?
- David will send out an updated graphic of the project schedule to the CPAC this week, including all of the upcoming dates.

Closing remarks made by Councilor Batey.