

DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY

Members Present

Albert Chen, Ben Rousseau, Everett Wild, Howie Oakes, Rebecca Hayes, Sara Busickio, Stephan Lashbrook

Members Not Able to Attend

Bryce Magorian, Celestina DiMauro, Daniel Eisenbeis, Jessica Neu, Kim Travis, Liz Start, Matthew Bibeau, Neil Hankerson, Stacy Johnson

City of Milwaukie

Mark Gamba, Mayor; Councilor Lisa Batey
David Levitan, Denny Egner, and Mary Heberling
Natalie Rogers, Climate Action and Sustainability Coordinator
Jere Sonne, Environmental Services Coordinator

Envirolssues

Bridger Wineman

Angelo Planning

Matt Hastie

Conversation and questions/answers are summarized by agenda item below. Raw flipchart notes are attached as an appendix to this summary (Appendix A, respectively).

WELCOME

- Bridger Wineman welcomed the CPAC. Went over the overview of the meeting for the night.

PROJECT UPDATES

- **David Levitan:** will mention these in “Next Steps” at the end of the meeting.

GROUP DISCUSSION ON KEY POLICY QUESTIONS/REPORT BACK

- **Mayor Gamba** – I remember in other topics we brought up a policy around how to use parking lots that aren’t. I can’t remember where we fit that in. I think it could fit in all 3 of the topics today.
 - **David Levitan** – I know we did mention it before. I think we had written a draft policy in the Parks and Rec chapter.
 - **Denny Egner** – We could put a policy in the transportation section of the public facilities chapter. This is in addition to the TSP. There are more transportation policies in the TSP.
 - **Ben Rousseau** – How much of the TSP is guided by the Comp Plan?

- **Denny** – They need to be well-linked together. Our TSP was updated about 5 years ago and needs to be updated again. We probably was to think about land use before we update the TSP.
- **Matt Hastie** – For lots of other communities, the TSP is THE transportation policies home for a community. You don't necessary want the same polices in two places (Comp Plan and TSP). If that were the case you would need to then update two documents with the same policies, which could be redundant.
- **Bridger** – Would the synthesis part of the Comp Plan update be a way to make sure we aren't redundant and find where particular policies fit the best?
 - **David** – Yes, that would be a time to do that, even though our Synthesis phase has been reduced a little bit at the present.

Public Facilities:

Report Back:

- Create a matrix system to incentivize particular things they would want: affordable housing, green buildings, etc. and get different benefits with regards to SDCs (reduced fees, waivers, etc.)
- Water master plan and sewer master plan are currently in the works to be updated and will make up a Public Facilities plan that will be incorporated into the Comp Plan
- Wellhead protection – needed? Create a better buffer
- Expand water supply, like using greywater in certain instances
- Increasing capacity to be equipped for emergency situations
- Use pre-treated and other innovative systems for the Wastewater Treatment plant versus expansion.
- Streets & Storm drainage – more policies needed around green streets, and green infrastructure, restore and preserve floodplains.
- Make sure solid waste franchise continues to provide a full-range of services including composting and recycling
- CPFTED – hard to get in the land use development code, but important
- Remove all reference to fire, since it is not a City department anymore
- Healthcare coordination policy – not sure what it's about, need to discuss more.
- Consolidating city services (planning, engineering, building with City Hall services)
- Split private utilities and communications into two separate policies
- City utility for broadband
- Conversion of parking lots/large paved areas/uses of the rights-of-way for redevelopment and the redevelopment must have a green element
- Call out working with private operators on food waste reduction strategies

Natural Resources/Environmental Quality:

Report Back:

- Environmental Quality
 - Air quality – ability and policy around the City should have the ability to handle air quality beyond what the state already does. Documenting resident concerns. Strengthen existing language to get to these ideas.

- Noise – Current policies say City will work with DEQ, not sure DEQ current does those things, city could take it on instead. Ex. Vehicle noise pollution.
- Promoting good neighbor agreements between industrial uses and residential areas. Strengthening buffering requirements.
- Limiting industrial uses that have more impact on industrial uses that do have more problems with air, noise, water pollution next to residential areas.
- Only thing about water quality is about waste water. Could add more. Look at Policy 7.7 from Portland.
- Explore other sources of water.
- Yes, like policies around light pollution and balance that with public safety.
- Environmental equity and justice policies
- Policy language around brownfields and to use state and federal funding sources.
- Monitoring water quality and need policy language to provide the basis for that.
- Add more about odor restrictions/pollution
- Policies that encourage more “Right to Know” information/materials
- City should also have the ability to go and measure air quality rather than relying on DEQ to do the monitoring all the time.
- Have the City being more proactive with DEQ and be more advocates for the neighborhoods
- Radon testing/ground pollutants – anything the City can do to help support testing and information about this sort of issue/mitigation options (awareness building)
- Natural Resources
 - Lots of places where we can reduce duplication/organize/simplification/etc.
 - Policy related to the removal of Kellogg Dam
 - Policies around fish passage and waterway obstructions
 - Tree ordinance – priority of mature trees and a heritage tree program
 - The 40% tree canopy requirement could be the over-arching policy for this
 - Restricting non-water dependent development – what does that mean?
 - Policy 7 – change policy direction – need to promote coordination with the County on natural areas that are currently in the County, but will eventually be annexed into the City.

CLOSING/NEXT STEPS

David: Next Steps

- Housing Block
 - May 21 – Joint PC/CC meeting to review policies
 - Late May – last CPAC meeting
 - June work session – PC (6/11) and CC (6/18)
 - July 16 – “pin down” housing policies
- Block 3
 - June 3 – CPAC meeting 3 (review draft policies)
 - July 8 – CPAC meeting 4 (final CPAC-recommended draft)
 - July 23 – Planning Commission review
 - August 6 – City Council review
 - How are we going to do our public outreach? Another town hall? Or just an online open house? Will the community give feedback on draft policies?

- David will send out an updated graphic of the project schedule to the CPAC this week, including all of the upcoming dates.

Closing remarks made by Councilor Batey.

DRAFT