

## Summary of Comments from May 21 Joint CC/PC Meeting

### GENERAL COMMENTS

- Include a policy under each goal that indicates how we will measure success towards achieving the intent of that goal
- Work in the concept of housing “choice”, as opposed to just talking about housing “types” or “options”
- Address infrastructure constraints, and identify potential triggers for re-evaluating our policies/zoning if we are projected to exceed our capacity
- We should begin talking about “why” we are doing this, and getting that message out to the community
- Would like to arrange a joint meeting of CC/PC/CPAC to discuss housing affordability tools
- To address community concerns/fears, make sure that people know there will be appropriate development review processes.

### EQUITY GOAL

Policy 1: Don't differentiate the housing types as either rental or ownership

Policy 6: Change cultural “differences” to “preferences”

Policy 8: Use “houseless” instead of “homeless”

### AFFORDABILITY GOAL

Policy 4: Can we incentivize this, such as providing an expedited review process?

Policy 7: If we are proposing to allow tiny homes on wheels, what about RVs on private property?

New policy recommendation: Establish policies/programs to encourage first time homeownership.

### SUSTAINABILITY GOAL

New policy recommendation: Create performance measures and metrics to track 1) our success in developing new housing units of various types/sizes for all segments of the community and 2) infrastructure requirements/improvements needed to accommodate future growth targets.

### LIVABILITY GOAL

Policy 2: There is an inherent conflict between requiring these improvements and ensuring affordability.

Policy 4: Some concern that “similar in form” was trying to get at maintaining the status quo of neighborhood character. Staff responded that we are referring to form that is permitted, not that currently exists.