### **Development Standards in Low-Density Residential Zones** Draft Policy Goals

The draft proposed code shall be guided by the following goals:

- Be clear and objective. To be easy to understand and implement.
- Be style neutral. To allow a wide variety of building shapes and site layouts that "work" in all of Milwaukie's neighborhoods.
- Be flexible. To allow reasonable building and site development variations.
- Support compatibility. To facilitate building and site development that "fits" within Milwaukie's existing neighborhoods.
- Support good building and site development without being cost prohibitive. To keep Milwaukie an affordable place to live.

#### Key Questions:

- 1. Are these the right goals?
- 2. Is there anything missing?

### **Development Standards in Low-Density Residential Zones**

#### **Compatibility Tools**

Development standards (sometimes called "bulk regulations") are the combination of controls (lot size, lot coverage, open space, height and setback) that determine the maximum size and placement of a building on a zoning lot. There are a number of tools available to address issues of context and compatibility, including:

- Lot size: the minimum square footage a newly created lot must contain.
- Lot coverage: the maximum percentage of a lot that can be covered by structures. Also known as open space regulations.
- Minimum vegetation: the minimum percentage of a lot that must be planted with vegetation. Also known as yard regulations.
- **Height:** the maximum height permitted, as well as how it is measured.
- Setbacks: the minimum distance a dwelling must be "set back" from the front, rear, and side lot lines. Also known as buffers.

#### Key Questions:

- 1. What is the right mix of tools to ensure compatibility?
- 2. Should the City allow ADUs on properties with duplexes?
- 3. Should duplexes be outright allowed in the R-10 and R-7 zones on certain streets or in certain locations?

# **Current Development Tools and Standards**

| Purpose   | Illustrations   |
|---|---|
| Lot sizes: Minimum lot sizes are a tool to regulate<br>the density of a residential area. The City's low-<br>density residential zones have lot size minimums<br>ranging from 5,000 to 10,000 square feet.<br>The illustrations at the right show the difference in<br>density between larger lot sizes (top) and smaller<br>lot sizes (bottom).<br>Low-density residential lot sizes and density will not<br>be addressed as part of this project. |   |
| Lot coverage: the ratio of buildings to the total area<br>of the lot. Its purpose is to relate house size to lot<br>size and protect open space on each lot.<br>Currently, lot coverage is the same for all lots<br>regardless of size.   | Total Lot Area<br>= 5,000 sq. ft.<br>House Area<br>= 1,200 sq. ft.<br>Garage Area<br>= 500 sq. ft.) × 100%<br>= 34%<br>House<br>Total Lot Coverage<br>= 34% |
| Minimum Vegetation: Minimum vegetation<br>standards require a minimum percentage of a lot to<br>be planted with grass, trees, shrubs, or similar.<br>This standard restricts the amount of impervious<br>surface, such as buildings and paving, on each lot.<br>The illustration to the right shows the impervious<br>surfaces in gray, and the vegetated area in white.  | Garage<br>Driveway<br>Patio<br>House  |



## **Compatibility Tools and Options**

| Issue  | Compatibility Tools   | Illustration   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lot Coverage   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The City's current low-density<br>residential maximum lot coverage is<br>30%, regardless of lot size. This can<br>result in larger, incompatible homes<br>on larger lots.  | A. Variable lot coverage standards<br>related to the square footage of<br>the lot. This tool limits the size of<br>single-family homes on larger<br>lots. | 30% Lot Coverage       25% Lot Coverage         7,000 sf       12,000 sf       12,000 sf         Image: Coverage of the second sec |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>B. Floor area ratio (FAR) method<br/>of calculating maximum square<br/>footage of habitable or living<br/>areas.</li> <li>With this method, the size of a<br/>building is regulated by how muc<br/>living space it contains. There is<br/>some flexibility in lot coverage<br/>and height.</li> </ul> | Site Coverage<br>50% 25% 12.5% 10%<br>Building Height<br>L-story 2-story 4-story 5-story  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Issue  | Compatibility Tools   | Illustration   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Minimum Vegetation   |   |  |  |  |
| Currently, the minimum vegetation<br>standards require 35% of the lot to be<br>planted with vegetation such as trees,<br>shrubs, and grasses.<br>Combined with the maximum lot<br>coverage, that can result in properties<br>that are 35% paved.                   | <ul> <li>A. Consider maximum impervious surface standards rather than minimum vegetation standards. For example, the impervious surface ratio could include buildings, paved areas, packed earth, and oiled surfaces.</li> <li>The illustration at the right shows the impervious area (in white) and the vegetated area (in gray). The amount of impervious area would be restricted.</li> </ul> | TOOL<br>SHED<br>SUEWALK<br>SIDEWALK<br>LOT LINES<br>R.O.W.<br>SIDEWALK<br>R.O.W. |  |  |
| Height Restrictions – Primary Structures   |   |  |  |  |
| Currently, primary structure height is<br>measured to the highest point of the<br>roof for a flat roof, or the mean height<br>between the eaves and the ridge for a<br>gable, hip, or gambrel roof. There are<br>no provisions for structures built on a<br>slope. | A. Changing building height<br>measurement methodology to<br>require measurement to the peak<br>of the roof, rather than the<br>midpoint.   | heighest point of structure<br>measurement<br>finished grade                     |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>B. Differing measurement<br/>methodologies and height<br/>maximums for buildings on flat<br/>lots and those located on slopes.<br/>For example, Lake Oswego<br/>restricts the height of structures<br/>on a flat lot to 28 feet, and the<br/>height of structures on a sloped<br/>lot to 35 feet.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

| Issue   | Compatibility Tools   | Illustration  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Height Restrictions – Accessory Structures  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Currently, accessory structure height is measured to the top of the roof.   | Change accessory structure height measurement methodology to that used for the primary structure.   | See illustrations for Height Restrictions - Primary Structures. |  |  |  |
| Setbacks  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Currently, code allows for the<br>"averaging" of setbacks within 100<br>feet of the property to be developed.<br>The R-5 zone has provisions for<br>additional setbacks for buildings<br>above 25 feet; the R-7 and R-10<br>zones do not. | <ul> <li>A. Require additional setbacks for dwellings above a certain height, such as 25 feet, or with a side wall that exceeds a certain size.</li> <li>The illustration at the left shows a house built to the maximum height and lot coverage. The illustration at the right shows a house built to the maximum height with additional side setbacks. The illustration below shows an additional side setback.</li> <li>B. Allow a "trade off" of more height for lower lot coverage, or less height for higher lot coverage</li> <li>The illustration at the left shows a SFR building that is 25 feet high and covers 50% of the lot; the illustration on the right shows a SFR building that is 45 feet tall and covers 20% of the lot</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |